



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,030	11/24/2003	Taketo Heishi	67471-030	5444
7590 12/16/2009			EXAMINER	
Michael E Fogarty McDermott Will & Emery 600 13th Street NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20005-3096			PARTRIDGE, WILLIAM B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/720,030	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HEISHI ET AL.
		<b>Examiner</b> William B. Partridge	<b>Art Unit</b> 2183

**All Participants:**

(1) William B. Partridge.

(2) Ramyar M. Farid.

**Date of Interview:** 9 December 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William B Partridge/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2183

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Applicant to inform them of the defective declaration as per MPEP 1414 and 1402, specifically in that "A statement of at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application, i.e., which provides a basis for the reissue" is not found in the declaration. While Applicant does point out that they did not claim all they could have, that alone is not sufficient for a reissue. Applicant indicated that they would file a new declaration but that there may be a delay due to the holidays. Examiner confirmed that the delay would be acceptable and that the notice of allowance would be held until such time as the new declaration is submitted.

/David J. Huisman/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2183